

## 1 True/False. [24 pts]

**Circle** one of the provided answers please!

No negative points will be assigned for incorrect answers.

- (a) TRUE or FALSE: Given independent events  $A, B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  have nonzero probability, then  $A \cap B$  is nonempty.  
 True. Since the  $Pr[A] > 0$ , then the  $Pr[A|B] > 0$ . We need to ensure that  $Pr[B] > 0$ , since  $Pr[A|B]$  is undefined when  $Pr[B] = 0$ .
- (b) TRUE or FALSE: If  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are mutually independent, then  $Pr[A|B, C] = Pr[A]$ .  
 True. This follows from the definition of mutually independent as stated in note 11 in the reader.
- (c) TRUE or FALSE: If  $Pr[A|B] = 2Pr[A]$ , then  $Pr[B] > Pr[A]$ .  
 False. Consider a probability space a uniform probability for outcome in  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  (a die roll)  $B = \{1, 2\}$  and  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Here,  $Pr[A|B] = 1$  where  $Pr[A] = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $Pr[B] < Pr[A]$ .
- (d) TRUE or FALSE: It is necessarily true that the variance of a random variable  $X$  is  $\leq (E(X))^2$ .  
 False. Consider a random variable  $X$  with  $Pr[X = 0] = .99$  and  $Pr[X = 100] = .01$ ,  $E(X) = 1$ ,  $Var(X) = .99(1) + .01(10000) = 100.99$  which is greater than  $(E(X))^2 = 1$ .
- (e) TRUE or FALSE: It is necessarily true that the variance of a random variable  $X$  is  $\leq E(X^2)$ .  
 True. A way to compute variance is  $E(X^2) - (E(X))^2$ . Since  $(E(X))^2$  is nonnegative, the difference can be at most  $E(X^2)$ .
- (f) TRUE or FALSE: For disjoint events  $A$  and  $B$ , the  $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A] \times Pr[B]$ .  
 False. For disjoint events the  $Pr[A \cap B] = 0$  regardless of the  $Pr[A]$  and  $Pr[B]$ . Any pair of disjoint events where each have nonzero probability provides a counterexample.
- (g) TRUE or FALSE: For independent events,  $Pr[A \cup B] = Pr[A] + Pr[B]$ .  
 False.  $Pr[A \cup B] = Pr[A] + Pr[B] - Pr[A]Pr[B]$  from inclusion/exclusion, the intersection rule, and for independent  $A$  and  $B$ . Any two non-empty independent events provides a counterexample.
- (h) TRUE or FALSE: For a Poisson random variable  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$ , the  $Pr[X = i + 1] \leq Pr[X = i]$  for all  $i \geq \lambda$ .  
 True. For Poisson random variables

$$Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}.$$

and

$$Pr[X = i + 1] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}.$$

The ratio is  $\frac{\lambda}{i+1}$  which is smaller than 1 when  $i \geq \lambda$ .

- (i) TRUE or FALSE: For a Poisson random variable  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda = 1$ , then Chebyshev's inequality ensures that the  $Pr[X \geq 11] \leq \frac{1}{100}$ .

True. We can see that if  $X \geq 11$  implies  $|X - 1| \geq 10$ . Thus  $Pr[X \geq 11] \leq Pr[|X - 1| \geq 10]$ . By Chebyshev's inequality, we have that

$$Pr[|X - 1| \geq 10] \leq \frac{Var(X)}{10^2} = \frac{1}{100}.$$

The last equality follows since variance of this Poisson distribution is  $\lambda = 1$ .

- (j) TRUE or FALSE: For a binomially distributed variable  $X$  with parameter  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $n = 100$ , Chebyshev's inequality ensures that the  $Pr[X \geq 75] \leq \frac{1}{10}$ .

True. As above,  $Pr[X \geq 75] \leq Pr[|X - 50| \geq 25]$  Since the mean of this binomial distribution is  $pn = 50$  and the variance is  $(1 - p)pn = 25$ , use Chebyshev to conclude

$$Pr[|X - 50| \geq 25] \leq \frac{25}{25^2} = \frac{1}{25} \leq \frac{1}{10}$$

- (k) TRUE or FALSE: Given two random variables,  $X$  with Poisson distribution and  $Y$  with a geometric distribution, both with mean  $\mu$ , we can conclude that  $E[X + Y] > E[2X]$ .

False. By linearity of expectation  $E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y] = 2\mu$  which is not greater than  $2\mu$ .

- (l) TRUE or FALSE: The maximum variance binomial distribution with parameter  $n$  has parameter  $p = 1$ .

False. The variance for a binomial is  $p(1 - p)n = 0$  in this case. Any nonzero parameter for  $p = 0$ .

- (m) TRUE or FALSE: Given a random variable  $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  where the  $X_i$ 's are chosen independently from the same distribution, and any  $\alpha$ ,  $Pr[|S - E[S]| \geq \alpha]$  goes to 0 as  $n$  goes to infinity.

False. The standard deviation here is  $\sqrt{n}\sigma$  which goes to infinity as  $n$  goes to infinity. Thus, the distance from  $S$  to  $E(S)$  does not "go to zero."

Some students noticed that  $\alpha$  was not required to be positive in which case the statement is trivially false.

## 2 Short answer. [43 pts]

**For parts a to b, consider a deck with just the four aces (red: hearts, diamonds; black: spades, clubs). Melissa shuffles the deck and draws the top two cards.**

- (a) [4 pts] Given that Melissa has the ace of hearts, what is the probability that Melissa has both red cards?  
 $\frac{1}{3}$ . Each of the 6 hands is equally likely. 3 of them contain the ace of hearts. One of them contains two red cards. Thus,  $Pr[\text{"two red"}|\text{"ace of hearts"}] = \frac{1}{3}$ .
- (b) [4 pts] Given that Melissa has at least one red card, what is the probability that she has both red cards?  
 $\frac{1}{5}$ . There are 5 hands with at least one red card (since only one of the six hands has two black cards). One of them contains two red cards. Thus,  $Pr[\text{"two red"}|\text{"one red"}] = \frac{1}{5}$ .
- (c) [4 pts] Suppose that A and B are independent, C is disjoint from both A and B and  $P[A] = P[B] = P[C] = 1/4$ . Compute  $P[A \cup B \cup C]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[A \cup B \cup C] &= Pr[A] + Pr[B] + Pr[C] \\ &= \quad - Pr[A \cap B] - Pr[A \cap C] - Pr[B \cap C] + Pr[A \cap B \cap C] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16} - 0 - 0 + 0 \\ &= \frac{11}{16} \end{aligned}$$

The third line follows from the fact that  $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A]Pr[B]$  for independent A and B, and the fact that C is disjoint from A and B.

**For parts d to h, we consider two events A and B such that  $P(A) = 0.3$  and  $P(B) = 0.4$ . Compute  $P(A|B)$  in each of the following cases:**

- (d) [3 pts] A and B are independent

$$Pr[A|B] = Pr[A] = 0.3.$$

- (e) [3 pts] A and B are disjoint

$$Pr[A|B] = 0 \text{ by definition of disjoint.}$$

- (f) [3 pts]  $A \implies B$

$$Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A] \implies Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A]}{Pr[B]} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

- (g)  $P[A \cap B] = 0.1$

$$Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]} = \frac{0.1}{0.4} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

- (h) [3 pts]  $P(A \cup B) = 0.5$

$$Pr[A \cup B] = Pr[A] + Pr[B] - Pr[A \cap B] \implies Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A] + Pr[B] - Pr[A \cup B] = 0.2.$$

$$Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]} = \frac{0.2}{0.4} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- (i) [4 pts] The number of accidents (per month) at a certain factory has a Poisson distribution. If the probability that there is at least one accident is  $1/2$ , what is the probability that there are exactly two accidents?

If the probability of at least one accident is  $1/2$ , the probability of none is  $1/2$ .

For a Poisson distribution,  $Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}$ .

Thus,  $Pr[X = 0] = \frac{1}{2} \implies e^{-\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\implies \lambda = \ln 2$ .

And  $Pr[X = 2] = e^{-\ln 2} \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{2} = \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{4}$ .

- (j) [4 pts] A pair of dice is rolled until either a 4 is rolled (the numbers on the two dice add up to 4) or a 7 is rolled. What is the expected number of rolls needed?

The number of ways to get 4 is 3, and the number of ways to get 7 is 6. The total number of ways to get either is 9. The probability you get either is  $9/36 = 1/4$ .

The expected number of times before you get either is the expectation of a geometric distribution with parameter  $p = 1/4$ . Expectation is  $\frac{1}{p} = 4$ .

- (k) [4 pts] There is a test to determine whether one has boneitis, but the test is not always accurate. For those who do have boneitis, the test has an 4 in 5 chance of coming out positive. For those who don't have boneitis, the test has a 1 in 9 chance of coming out positive. Overall, about 10% of people have boneitis.

Suppose the test comes out positive for That Guy. What is the probability That Guy has boneitis?

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[\text{"pos. test"}] &= Pr[\text{"pos test"}|\text{"boneitis"}]Pr[\text{"boneitis"}] + Pr[\text{"pos. test"}|\text{"boneitis"}](1 - Pr[\text{"boneitis"}]) \\ &= \frac{4}{5} \times \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{9} \times \frac{9}{10} \\ &= \frac{9}{50} \end{aligned}$$

$$Pr[\text{"boneitis"}|\text{"pos. test"}] = \frac{Pr[\text{"pos. test"}|\text{"boneitis"}]Pr[\text{"boneitis"}]}{Pr[\text{"pos.test"}]} = \frac{\frac{4}{50}}{\frac{9}{50}} = \frac{4}{9}.$$

- (l) [4 pts] A hand of 13 cards are chosen (without replacement) at random from a standard deck of 52 poker cards. What is the expected number of four-of-a-kinds that we see from these 13 cards? (No need to evaluate the expression to get a number.)

(Four-of-a-kind is four cards of the same rank. For example, the hand

"A♠A♠A♠A♠A♣K♠K♥K♦K♣2♠2♠2♦2♣6♦"

contains three four-of-a-kinds, namely the aces, the kings and the twos. )

There are two methods. Define 13 indicator random variables  $X_i$  whether the 4 cards of the  $i$ th rank (a rank is 2 or 3 or ace, etc.) is included in the hand.

The probability that all the cards in a certain rank are included in a hand is

$$\frac{\binom{48}{9}}{\binom{52}{13}}.$$

By linearity of expectation we get the expected number of 4-of-a kinds is

$$13 \times \frac{\binom{48}{9}}{\binom{52}{13}}.$$

An alternate way to do this is to define a random variable for each of  $\binom{13}{4}$  subsets of 4 cards from a 13 card hand and compute the probability that those 4 cards have of a single rank  $\frac{13}{\binom{52}{4}}$ . This gives

$$\binom{13}{4} \frac{13}{\binom{52}{4}}.$$

I would hope they give the same number.

### 3 3-SAT. [15 pts]

A 3-conjunctive normal form (CNF) formula is a boolean formula consisting of the “and” of a sequence of clauses where each clause consists of the “or” of three literals. For example,  $\phi = (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_5) \wedge (x_5 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{x}_1)$ . (No variable can appear twice in a single clause.)

One wishes to find an assignment to the variables to maximize the number of true clauses. The literals work in the natural manner:  $x_i = T$  if and only if  $\bar{x}_i = F$ . In the example above, the assignment,  $x_1 = T, x_2 = T$  and  $x_5 = F$  satisfies one clause in  $\phi$ , where  $x_1 = T, x_2 = F, x_5 = F$  satisfies two clauses in  $\phi$ .

- (a) For a particular formula with  $n$  clauses, consider choosing a random assignment to the variables, i.e.,  $x_i = T$  or  $x_i = F$  with equal probability. What is the expected number of satisfied clauses?

All three literals must be assigned false for a clause to *not* be satisfied. Thus, it is false with probability  $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8}$ .

The probability it is satisfied is thus  $\frac{7}{8}$ .

By linearity of expectation the total number of satisfied clauses is  $\frac{7}{8}n$ .

- (b) Let  $U$  be a random variable corresponding to the number of unsatisfied clauses. What is  $E(U)$ ?

The number of unsatisfied clauses,  $U$ , is  $n - X$  where  $X$  is the number of satisfied clauses. Thus, by linearity of expectation the number of unsatisfied clauses is  $n - \frac{7}{8}n = \frac{1}{8}n$ .

One could do this from first principles (i.e., a clause is not satisfied with probability  $\frac{1}{8}$  and thus the expected number is  $\frac{1}{8}n$ .)

- (c) Upper bound the probability that  $U$  is larger than  $(1 + \epsilon)E(U)$  for  $\epsilon \geq 0$  as a function of  $\epsilon$ . (You should give a nontrivial bound here.)

The variable  $U$  is a positive random variable so we can use Markov's inequality as follows

$$Pr[X \geq (1 + \epsilon)E(X)] \leq \frac{E(X)}{(1 + \epsilon)E(X)} = \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon}.$$

- (d) Consider repeating this experiment until one finds an assignment that leaves at most  $(1 + \epsilon)E(U)$  unsatisfied clauses. Give an upper bound on the expected number of repetitions.

The algorithm terminates when *at most*  $(1 + \epsilon)E(U)$  are unsatisfied. This happens with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}$ .

Since each experiment is independent, it follows a geometric distribution with some parameter  $p \geq \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}$ .

Thus the expected number of repetitions is at most  $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon}$ .

## 4 The evolution of a social network. [18 pts]

(We give a simplified analysis of the connectivity of a social network.)

Say one person in a class of  $n$  people knows a secret, perhaps where the midterm is. Occasionally a randomly chosen person  $A$  **who doesn't know the secret** calls a randomly chosen person  $B$  ( $B \neq A$ ) and learns the secret if  $B$  knows it.

Let  $X_2$  be a random variable that represents the number of calls (no two calls are simultaneous) until two people know the secret.

(a) What is the distribution of  $X_2$ ?

The probability that a call is made to the person who knows the secret is  $\frac{1}{n-1}$  as there is 1 good recipient out of  $n-1$  possibilities.

Thus,  $X_2$ , follows the geometric distribution with parameter  $p = \frac{1}{n-1}$ .

Or,

$$Pr[X_2 = i] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right).$$

since for  $X_2$  to be  $i$ , the first  $i-1$  calls must fail to be to the person who knows the secret and the  $i$ th must be to the person who knows the secret.

(b) What is  $E[X_2]$ ?

The expectation of a geometrically distributed random variable with parameter  $p$  is  $\frac{1}{p}$ . Thus,  $E[X_2] = n-1$

(c) Let  $X_i$  be the number of calls needed to go from  $i-1$  people knowing the secret to  $i$  people. What is  $E[X_i]$ ?

The probability that a call is made to one of the  $i-1$  people who know the secret is  $\frac{i-1}{n-1}$  as there is  $i-1$  good recipient out of  $n-1$  possibilities.

Thus,  $X_i$ , follows the geometric distribution with parameter  $p = \frac{i-1}{n-1}$ .

Or,

$$Pr[X_i = k] = \left(1 - \frac{i-1}{n-1}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{i-1}{n-1}\right).$$

since for  $X_i$  to be  $j$ , the first  $k-1$  calls must fail to be to the person who knows the secret and the  $k$ th must be to the person who knows the secret.

(d) What is the expected time for everyone to know the secret?

$E[X_i] = \frac{n-1}{i-1}$  as each  $X_i$  follows a geometric distribution.

The time for everyone to know is  $X_2 + \dots + X_n$ . By linearity of expectation we get that the total expected time is

$$\sum_{i \geq 2}^n \frac{n-1}{i-1} = (n-1) \sum_{i \geq 1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i}.$$

- (e) Bound your expression to within a constant factor for large  $n$ . Your expression should not have a summation. (You may use  $\Theta(\cdot)$  notation, recall that  $2n^2 - 5n + 2 = \Theta(n^2)$ .)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} \approx (\ln n + \gamma)$$

Thus, the expression from the previous problem

$$(n-1) \sum_{i \geq 1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} \text{ is } \Theta(n \ln n).$$